

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,720			0380-CP6250203	9679	
110			EXAMINER		
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN			COLLINS, DARRYL J		
1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	PHIA, PA 19103-2307	2873			
				DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentions of time maybe available under the proximate of 37 CPR 1-18(b), in no event, howers, may a reply to be linely filed / If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will exply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallurs to reply within the set or exceeded period for reply will, by statuke, cause the application become ABANCHOOD (36 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Ciffice lister boas three morths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient than alignatum. Sea 70 CPR 1-78(4). Status 1) Responsive to communication (s) filed on	•							
Examiner Dorryl J. Collins 2873		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Darryl J. Collins 2873	Office Action Summany	10/509,720	MEYER, PAUL					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercision of time may be availation used the postediote of 37 CPR 1.136(b), in no event, however, may nery be timely filled / If NO period for reply is perified above, the maintens statistically period will apply and will expire SK (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whichever by the Office later than these maintens statistically period will apply and will expire SK (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the early extended period for reply its patistate, cause the application for the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fised, may reduce any variety reply received by the Office later than these mailines date in the communication, even if timely fised, may reduce any variety fised on a coordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Status Status This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 15-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Esterations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a regly be timely filed. - Esterations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a regly be timely filed. - If No period for regly is specified above, the maximum statistory produced may grey and will expise 43 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Fallura to regly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office site than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any available and the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any available to the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any available and the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any available and the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any available and the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any available and the mailing date of the communication. 10 Test action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 21 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 22 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 23 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 24 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 25 This action is filed to the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 26 This action is filed to the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 27 Claim(s) 15-22 Sidare pending in the application for all action of the practice of the priodication of the practice of the priodication of the practice of the priodication of the decimal practice of the priodication of		!						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 cFt 1.15(b). In no event, however, may a reply be linely filed after 51X (b) MoSPTISF from the mailing date of this communication. **STATE OF THE OF THE MAILING PROVIDED THE OF T	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 15-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 15-24.26 and 27 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) **Displacement of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 15-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 15-24.26 and 27 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) **Displacement of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)		action is non-final.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 Cotober 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☒ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on of is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-27</u> is/are pending in the application	1. ,						
6) Claim(s) 15-24.26 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
T) ☐ Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-24,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>15-24,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached Office action (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3. ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *.c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object	ed to by the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of PTo-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 ○ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Statement(s)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	_	•					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
•	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa						

Application/Control Number: 10/509,720

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-19, 22, 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurtin (U.S. Patent No. 5,138,494) in view of Wada (U.S. Patent No. 4,865,438). Kurtin teaches an eyesight correction device (column 1, lines 6-8) comprising a pair of substantially inflexible nesting lenses (Figure 4, elements 11 and 12) and a means for moving at least one of the lenses in a direction substantially normal to the refracting surfaces (Figure 4, element 13) so as to create a cleft of changing width between the facing surfaces of the lenses (Figures 3 and 4) as claimed in independent claims 15 and 27, wherein one of the lenses has a concave surface within which nests a convex surface of the other lens (Figure 4) as claimed in dependent claim 17, facing surfaces of the first and second lenses as having complementary shapes so that when they are brought together into precise juxtaposition, the cleft between them is virtually eliminated (column 4, lines 6-11) as claimed in dependent claim 19, an eyesight correction device wherein one or both of the outer lens surfaces are contoured (Figure 2) as claimed in dependent claim 22 and a pair of spectacles comprising the eyesight correction device (column 1, lines 6-8) as claimed in dependent claim 23, but fails to teach the refractive power of the lenses. Wada, however, does teach an eyesight correction device (column 2, lines 49-50) comprising a fixed objective lens and an axially movable lens (column 2, lines 65-67) having either positive or Art Unit: 2873

negative refractive power and being either concave or convex as needed to satisfy the design requirements (column 4, lines 46-51) as claimed in independent claims 15 and 27 such that viewed light travels through the concave and convex surfaces in order as claimed in dependent claim 18. Although both references are silent on the width of the cleft as it relates the focal length, however Kurtin teaches that the space between the two lenses may approach zero, which is inherently less than the focal length of either lens as claimed in dependent claim 16.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the well-known design technique of choosing the proper refractive power combination of Wada with the eyesight correction device of Kurtin to obtain an eyesight correction device to address a specific eyesight deficiency.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurtin (U.S. Patent No. 5,138,494) in view of Wada (U.S. Patent No. 4,865,438) as applied to claims 15 above, and further in view of MacNeille (U.S. Patent No. 3,758,201). MacNeille further teaches an eyesight correction device (Figure 1, element 10) wherein the refracting surfaces are substantially equal and opposite in focusing power (column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 2) as claimed in dependent claim 20 and wherein both of the outer surfaces are substantially planar (column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 2) as claimed in dependent claim 21. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the eyesight correction device as taught by Kurtin and Wada with the lenses of MacNeille to change the effective dioptric power.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurtin (U.S. Patent No. 5,138,494) in view of Wada (U.S. Patent No. 4,865,438) as applied to claims 15

Application/Control Number: 10/509,720

Art Unit: 2873

above, and further in view of Boeder (U.S. Patent No. 2,642,776). Boeder further teaches spectacles having an eyesight correction device comprising two lens elements wherein on of the lens elements is moved relative to a fixed lens element having a single actuating mechanism common to the pair of spectacles (column 6, lines 3-35) as claimed in dependent claim 24. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the eyesight correction device as taught by Kurtin and Wada with the very well-known actuating mechanism as taught by Boeder to achieve uniform movement of the movable lens pair.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurtin (U.S. Patent No. 5,138,494) in view of Wada (U.S. Patent No. 4,865,438) as applied to claims 15 above, and further in view of Weinschenk, III et al (U.S. Publication 2003/0187504).

Weinschenk, III et al teaches a variable focus intraocular lens comprising an eyesight correct device comprising two spatially adjustable optics (Figure 1A, elements 12 and 14) as claimed in dependent claim 26. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the well-known vision techniques of Kurtin and Wada to the intraocular lens of Weinschenk III, et al to achieve a natural lens replacement with variable focus capabilities.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2873

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claims, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. Although the prior art teaches an eyesight correction device, the prior art fails to teach a contact lens comprising the eyesight correction device as claimed in the instant invention.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Baker (U.S. Patent No. 3,583,790), Sims (U.S. Patent No. 5,104,214), Klemka (U.S. Patent No. 5,162,824), Lichtenfield et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,067,192) and Shirayanagi (U.S. Patent No. 6,318,857) all teach variable power optical correction devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darryl J. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-2325. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 5:00 Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,720

Art Unit: 2873

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Darryl J. Collins 12 April 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER